arXiv:0906.5055v1 [math.FA] 27 Jun 2009

Spectra of Upper-triangular Operator Matrix*

Shifang Zhang[1], Huaijie Zhong[2], Junde Wu[1†]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China*

[2] *Department of Mathematics, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, P. R. China*

Abstract Let X and Y be Banach spaces, $A \in B(X)$, $B \in B(Y)$, $C \in B(Y, X)$, $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ be the operator matrix acting on the Banach space $X \oplus Y$. In this paper, we give out 20 kind spectra structure of M_C, decide 18 kind spectra filling-in-hole properties of M_C, and present 10 examples to show that some conclusions about the spectra structure or filling-in-hole properties of M_C are not true.

Keywords: Banach spaces, Upper-triangular operator matrix, Spectra, filling-in-hole.

1 Introduction and basic concepts

It is well known that if H is a Hilbert space and T is a bounded linear operator defined on H and H_1 is an invariant closed subspace of T, then T can be represented for the form of

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} : H_1 \oplus H_1^{\perp} \to H_1 \oplus H_1^{\perp},$$

which motivated the interest in 2 × 2 upper-triangular operator matrices (see [2], [3], [6], [8-25], [28-32]). Throughout this paper, let X and Y be complex infinite dimensional Banach spaces and $B(X, Y)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators from X into Y, for simplicity, we write $B(X, X)$ as $B(X)$. Let X^* be the dual space of X. If $T \in B(X, Y)$, then $T^* \in B(Y^*, X^*)$ denotes the dual operator of T.

For $T \in B(X, Y)$, let $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ denote the range and kernel of T, respectively, and denote $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$, $\beta(T) = \dim Y/R(T)$. If $T \in B(X)$, the ascent $asc(T)$ of T is defined to be the smallest nonnegative integer k (if it exists) which satisfies that $N(T^k) = N(T^{k+1})$. If such k does not exist, then the ascent of T is defined as infinity. Similarly, the descent $des(T)$ of T is defined as the smallest nonnegative integer k (if it exists) for which $R(T^k) = R(T^{k+1})$ holds. If such k does not exist, then $des(T)$ is defined as infinity, too. If the ascent and the descent of T are finite, then they are equal (see [13]). For $T \in B(X)$, if $R(T)$ is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$, then T is said to be an upper semi-Fredholm operator, if $\beta(T) < \infty$, then T is said to be a lower semi-Fredholm operator. If $T \in B(X)$ is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm operator, then T is said to be a semi-Fredholm operator. For semi-Fredholm operator T, its index ind (T) is defined as ind $(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$.

---

*This work is supported by the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 10771034, 10771191 and 10471124) and the NSF of Fujian Province of China (Grant Nos. Z0511019, S0650009).

[†] Corresponding author: E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

Now, we introduce the following important operator classes:

The sets of all invertible operators, bounded below operators, surjective operators, left invertible operators, right invertible operators on X are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(X) := \{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is invertible}\},\\
&G_+(X) := \{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is injective and } R(T) \text{ is closed}\},\\
&G_-(X) := \{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is surjective}\},\\
&G_l(X) := \{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is left invertible}\},\\
&G_r(X) := \{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is right invertible}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sets of all Fredholm operators, upper semi-Fredholm operators, lower semi-Fredholm operators, left semi-Fredholm operators, right semi-Fredholm operators on X are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(X) := \{T \in B(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } \beta(T) < \infty\},\\
&\Phi_+(X) := \{T \in B(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed}\},\\
&\Phi_-(X) := \{T \in B(X) : \beta(T) < \infty\},\\
&\Phi_l(X) := \{T \in B(X) : R(T) \text{ is a closed and complemented subspace of } X \text{ and } \alpha(T) < \infty\},\\
&\Phi_r(X) := \{T \in B(X) : N(T) \text{ is a closed and complemented subspace of } X \text{ and } \beta(T) < \infty\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sets of all Weyl operators, upper semi-Weyl operators, lower semi-Weyl operators, left semi-Weyl operators, right semi-Weyl operators on X are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi(X) : \mathrm{ind}(T) = 0\},\\
\Phi_+^-(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_+(X) : \mathrm{ind}(T) \le 0\},\\
\Phi_-^+(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_-(X) : \mathrm{ind}(T) \ge 0\},\\
\Phi_{lw}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_l(X) : \mathrm{ind}(T) \le 0\},\\
\Phi_{rw}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_r(X) : \mathrm{ind}(T) \ge 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sets of all Browder operators, upper semi-Browder operators, lower semi-Browder operators, left semi-Browder operators, right semi-Browder operators on X are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_b(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi(X) : asc(T) = des(T) < \infty\},\\
\Phi_{ab}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_+(X) : asc(T) < \infty\},\\
\Phi_{sb}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_-(X) : des(T) < \infty\},\\
\Phi_{lb}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_l(X) : asc(T) < \infty\},\\
\Phi_{rb}(X) &:= \{T \in \Phi_r(X) : des(T) < \infty\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the help of above set classes, for $T \in B(X)$, we can define its corresponding 22 kind spectra, respectively, as following:

the spectrum: $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin G(X)\}$,
the approximate point spectrum: $\sigma_a(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin G_-(X)\}$,
the defect spectrum: $\sigma_{su}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin G_+(X)\}$,
the left spectrum: $\sigma_l(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin G_l(X)\}$,
the right spectrum: $\sigma_r(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin G_r(X)\}$,
the essential spectrum: $\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi(X)\}$,

the upper semi-Fredholm spectrum: $\sigma_{SF+}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_+(X)\}$,
the lower semi-Fredholm spectrum: $\sigma_{SF-}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_-(X)\}$,
the left semi-Fredholm spectrum: $\sigma_{le}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_l(X)\}$,
the right semi-Fredholm spectrum: $\sigma_{re}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_r(X)\}$,
the Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_w(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_0(X)\}$,
the upper semi-Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{aw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_+^-(X)\}$,
the lower semi-Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{sw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_-^+(X)\}$,
the left semi-Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{lw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{lw}(X)\}$,
the right semi-Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{rw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{rw}(X)\}$,
the Browder spectrum: $\sigma_b(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_b(X)\}$,
the Browder essential approximate point spectrum: $\sigma_{ab}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{ab}(X)\}$,
the lower semi-Browder spectrum: $\sigma_{sb}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{sb}(X)\}$,
the left semi-Browder spectrum: $\sigma_{lb}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{lb}(X)\}$,
the right semi-Browder spectrum: $\sigma_{rb}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_{rb}(X)\}$,
the Kato spectrum: $\sigma_K(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X)\}$,
the third Kato spectrum: $\sigma_{K_3}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \Phi_l(X) \cup \Phi_r(X)\}$.

It is well known that all of these spectra are compact nonempty subsets of complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and have the following relationship:

(1) $\sigma_K(T) \subseteq \sigma_{SF+}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{aw}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ab}(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$,
(2) $\sigma_K(T) \subseteq \sigma_{SF-}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{sw}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{sb}(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$,
(3) $\sigma_{K_3}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{le}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lw}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lb}(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$,
(4) $\sigma_{K_3}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{re}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rw}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rb}(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$,
(5) $\partial(\sigma_b(T)) \subseteq \partial(\sigma_w(T)) \subseteq \partial(\sigma_e(T)) \subseteq \sigma_K(T) \subseteq \sigma_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_w(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$,
(6) $\partial(\sigma(T)) \subseteq \sigma_a(T) \cap \sigma_{su}(T) \subseteq \sigma_l(T) \subseteq \sigma_r(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$.

For a compact subset M of $\mathbb{C}$, we use $accM$, $intM$ and $isoM$, respectively, to denote all the points of accumulation of M, the interior of M and all the isolated points of M.

An operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to be Drazin invertible if there exists an operator $T^D \in B(X)$ such that

$$TT^D = T^D T, \qquad T^D T T^D = T^D, \qquad T^{k+1} T^D = T^k$$

for some nonnegative integer k ([13], [31]).

The operator T^D is said to be a Drazin inverse of T. It follows from [13] that T^D is unique. The smallest k in the previous definition is called as the Drazin index of T and denoted by $i(T)$. Now, we can define the Drazin spectrum, the ascent spectrum and the descent spectrum of T, respectively, as following:

$$\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\},$$
$$\sigma_{asc}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : asc(T - \lambda I) = \infty\},$$
$$\sigma_{des}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : des(T - \lambda I) = \infty\}.$$

The sets $\sigma_D(T)$, $\sigma_{asc}(T)$ and $\sigma_{des}(T)$ are closed but may be empty ([7], [31]).

Now, we continue to introduce the following operator classes which were discussed in [1], [3-5] and [26-27]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&BF(X)=\{T\in B(X): T=T_1\oplus T_2,\ \text{where}\, T_1 \,\text{is a Fredholm operator and}\ T_2\,\text{nilpotent}\},\\
&BW(X)=\{T\in B(X): T=T_1\oplus T_2,\ \text{where}\, T_1 \,\text{is a Weyl operator and}\ T_2\,\text{nilpotent}\},\\
&R_4(X)=\{T\in B(X): des(T)<\infty,\ R(T^{des(T)})\ \text{is closed}\},\\
&R_9(X)=\{T\in B(X): asc(T)<\infty,\ R(T^{asc(T)+1})\ \text{is closed}\},\\
&SF_0(X)=\{T\in \Phi_+(X)\cup\Phi_-(X): \alpha(T)=0\ \text{or}\ \beta(T)=0\},\\
&M(X)=\{T\in B(X): T\ \text{is left or right invertible}\},\\
&D(X)=\{T\in B(X): R(T)\ \text{is closed and}N(T)\subseteq\cap_{n=1}^{\infty}R(T^n)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Their corresponding spectra can be defined, respectively, by

The B-Fredholm spectrum: $\sigma_{BF}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin BF(X)\}$,
The B-Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{BW}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin BW(X)\}$,
The right Drazin spectrum: $\sigma_{rD}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin R_4(X)\}$,
The left Drazin spectrum: $\sigma_{lD}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin R_9(X)\}$,
$\sigma_{SF_0}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin SF_0(X)\}$,
$\sigma_{lr}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin M(X)\}$,
The semi-regular spectrum: $\sigma_{se}(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I\notin D(X)\}$.

In [3], the semi-regular spectrum $\sigma_{se}(T)$ of T is also called as the regular spectrum and denoted by $\sigma_g(T)$.

The spectra $\sigma_{BF},\sigma_{BW},\sigma_{rD},\sigma_{lD},\sigma_{SF_0},\sigma_{lr}$ are closed and $\sigma_{SF_0},\sigma_{lr},\sigma_{se}$ are nonempty ([1], [3-5], [26-27]).

Let $D(\lambda,r)$ be the open disc centered at $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ with radius $r>0$, $\overline{D}(\lambda,r)$ be the corresponding closed disc. $T\in B(X)$ is said to have the Single Valued Extension Property (SVEP, for short) ([28]) at λ if there exists $r>0$ such that for each open subset $V\subseteq D(\lambda,r)$, the constant function $f\equiv 0$ is the only analytic solution of the equation

$$(T-\mu)f(\mu)=0,\forall\mu\in V.$$

For $T\in B(X)$, we denote

$$S(T)=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}: T\ \text{fails to have the SVEP at}\ \lambda\}.$$

If $S(T)=\emptyset$, then T is said to have SVEP.

Henceforth, for $A\in B(X)$, $B\in B(Y)$ and $C\in B(Y,X)$, we put $M_C=\begin{pmatrix} A & C\\ 0 & B\end{pmatrix}$. It is clear that $M_C\in B(X\oplus Y)$.

For a given bounded linear operator $T\in B(X)$, as showed above, we have defined 32 kinds spectra. Now, we are interesting in deciding the spectra structure of operator matrix M_C. [28] and [16] have told us that for spectra $\sigma,\sigma_e,\sigma_w,\sigma_a,\sigma_{su}$ and σ_{aw}, we have

$$\sigma(M_C)\cup(S(A^*)\cap S(B))=\sigma(A)\cup\sigma(B), \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_e(M_C)\cup(S(A^*)\cap S(B))=\sigma_e(A)\cup\sigma_e(B)\cup(S(A^*)\cap S(B)), \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma_w(M_C)\cup[S(A)\cap S(B^*)]\cup[S(A^*)\cap S(B)]=$$

$$\sigma_w(A) \cup \sigma_w(B) \cup [S(A) \cap S(B^*)] \cup [S(A^*) \cap S(B)], \tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_{su}(M_C) \cup S(B) = \sigma_{su}(A) \cup \sigma_{su}(B) \cup S(B), \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma_a(M_C) \cup S(A^*) = \sigma_a(A) \cup \sigma_a(B) \cup S(A^*), \tag{5}$$

$$\sigma_{aw}(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*) = \sigma_{aw}(A) \cup \sigma_{aw}(B) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*). \tag{6}$$

In this paper, first, in Section 3, we decide another 20 kind spectra structure of M_C, that is:

Spectra σ_D and σ_b have equation (1) form, spectra $\sigma_{des}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{re}$ and σ_{SF-} have equation (4) form, spectra $\sigma_l, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{le}$ and σ_{SF+} have equation (5) form, spectrum σ_{lw} has equation (6) form. Moreover, if spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_{sw}$ or σ_{rw}, then it has the following form

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(B). \tag{7}$$

If spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_{SF0}$ or σ_{lr}, then it has the following forms

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*). \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, Han and Lee in [19] studied the so-call filling-in-hole problem of operator matrix, their result is:

$$\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B) = \sigma(M_C) \cup W_\sigma(A, B, C), \tag{10}$$

where $W_\sigma(A, B, C)$ is the union of some holes in $\sigma(M_C)$ and $W_\sigma(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)$.

That is, the passage from $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$ to $\sigma(M_C)$ is the punching of some open sets in $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)$.

Moreover, in [12, 22, 31-32], the authors showed that for the spectra $\sigma_b, \sigma_w, \sigma_e$ and σ_D, the equation (10) is also true.

In [12, 20], the authors showed that if spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_a, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{SF-}, \sigma_{ab}$ or σ_{sb}, then

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = (M_C) \cup W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C), \tag{11}$$

where $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of some holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$.

Let M be a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. The set

$$\eta(M) = \{w : \ |P(w)| \leq max\{|P(z)| : \ z \in M\} \text{ for every polynomial } P\}$$

is called to be the polynomially convex hull of M.

In [3], the authors proved that

$$\eta(\sigma_{se}(A) \cup \sigma_{se}(B)) = \eta(\sigma_{se}(M_C)). \tag{12}$$

Those spectra of M_C which satisfy equation (10), (11), (12), respectively, are called to have the filling-in-hole property, generalized filling-in-hole property, convex filling-in-hole property, respectively.

In Section 4, we continue to study the filling-in-hole problem of another 18 kind spectra of M_C, we show that each spectrum of the 18 kind spectra of M_C has one of the above 3 kind filling-in-hole properties.

In Section 5, we present some interesting examples to show that some conclusions about the spectra structure or filling-in-hole problem of M_C are not true.

2 Main Lemmas and Proofs

Lemma 2.1 ([31]). If $T \in B(X)$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(i). T is Drazin invertible.
(ii). $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$, where T_1 is invertible and T_2 is nilpotent.
(iii). There exists a nonnegative integer k such that $des(T) = asc(T) = k < \infty$.
(iv). T^* is Drazin invertible.

Lemma 2.2 ([31]). For $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$, if M_C is Drazin invertible for some $C \in B(Y, X)$, then
(i). $des(B) < \infty$ and $asc(A) < \infty$,
(ii). $des(A^*) < \infty$ and $asc(B^*) < \infty$.

Lemma 2.3 ([1] Theorem 3.81). Let $T \in B(X)$ and $des(T - \lambda_0) < \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i). T has the SVEP at λ_0.
(ii). $asc(T - \lambda_0) < \infty$.
(iii). λ_0 is a pole of the resolvent.
(iv). λ_0 is an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([22, 31-32]). Let $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$ and $C \in B(Y, X)$. We have:
(i). if any two of operators A, B and M_C are invertible, then so is the third,
(ii). if any two of operators A, B and M_C are Fredholms, then so is the third,
(iii). if any two of operators A, B and M_C are Weyl, then so is the third,
(iv). if any two of operators A, B and M_C are Drazin invertible, then so is the third,
(v). if any two of operators A, B and M_C are Browder, then so is the third.

Lemma 2.5 ([16]). Let $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$ and $C \in B(Y, X)$. If A has infinite ascent, then M_C has infinite ascent, if B has infinite descent, then M_C has infinite descent.

Lemma 2.6. Let $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$ and $C \in B(Y, X)$. We have:
(i). if B is invertible, then $des(M_C) < \infty$ if and only if $des(A) < \infty$,
(ii). if $B = 0$, then $des(M_C) < \infty$ if and only if $des(A) < \infty$,
(iii). if A is invertible, then $asc(M_C) < \infty$ if and only if $asc(B) < \infty$,
(iv). if $A = 0$, then $asc(M_C) < \infty$ if and only if $asc(B) < \infty$.

Proof. (i). If B is invertible, then $des(B) < \infty$, thus, it follows from $des(A) < \infty$ that $des(M_C) < \infty$. In fact, if $des(A) = p$ and $des(B) = q$, then we can claim that $R(M_C^{2n+1}) = R(M_C^{2n})$ for each $C \in B(Y, X)$, where $n = max\{p, q\}$. For this, note that $R(M_C^{2n+1}) \subseteq R(M_C^{2n})$ is obvious, it is sufficient to show that $R(M_C^{2n}) \subseteq R(M_C^{2n+1})$.

Suppose that $u_0 = (u_1, u_2) \in R(M_C^{2n})$. Then there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in X \oplus Y$ such that

$$(u_1, u_2) = M_C^{2n}(x_0, y_0) = (A^{2n}x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0 + \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0,\ B^{2n}y_0),$$

where $A^0 = I$ and $B^0 = I$. That $B^{2n}y_0 = u_2$ is clear. In view of $R(B^n) = R(B^{n+1})$, there exists $y_1 \in Y$, such that

$$B^n y_0 = B^{n+1} y_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= A^{2n}x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0 + \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0 \\
&= A^{2n}x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0 + \sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^{i+1} y_1 \\
&= A^{2n}x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{2n-i-1}CB^i y_0 + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} A^{2n-i}CB^i y_1 \\
&= A^n(A^n x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{n-i-1}CB^i y_0) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} A^{2n-i}CB^i y_1 \\
&= A^n(A^n x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{n-i-1}CB^i y_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{n} A^{n-i}CB^i y_1) + \sum_{i=0}^{2n} A^{2n-i}CB^i y_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it follows from $des(A) = p \leq n < \infty$ that $R(A^n) = R(A^{n+1}) = \cdots = R(A^{2n+1})$, hence, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that

$$A^n(A^n x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{n-i-1}CB^i y_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A^{n-i-1}CB^{i+1} y_1) = A^{2n+1}x_1.$$

Note that $(u_1, u_2) = M_C^{2n+1}(x_1, y_1)$, so $R(M_C^{2n}) \subseteq R(M_C^{2n+1})$.

If B is invertible and $des(M_C) < \infty$, now we consider two cases to show that $des(A) < \infty$.

Case I. If $R(M_C) = R(M_C^2)$, we claim that $R(A) = R(A^2)$. In fact, $R(A) \supseteq R(A^2)$ is obvious. If $y \in R(A)$, there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = Ax$. Thus, $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. That is $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R(M_C) = R(M_C^2)$. Hence, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in X \oplus Y$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & AC+CB \\ 0 & B^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2x_1 + (AC+CB)y_1 \\ B^2y_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since B is invertible, it is easy to show that

$$y_1 = 0, y = A^2x_1.$$

Thus $y \in R(A^2)$, and so $R(A) \subseteq R(A^2)$.

Case II. If $1 < des(M_C) = p < \infty$, put $M_p = M_C^p$. Then $M_p = \begin{pmatrix} A^p & \sum_{i=1}^p A^{p-i}CB^{i-1} \\ 0 & B^p \end{pmatrix}$ and $R(M_p) = R(M_p^2)$, where $A^0 = I, B^0 = I$. By using the same methods as in case I, we can prove that $R(A^p) \subseteq R(A^{2p})$. So $R(A^p) = R(A^{2p})$. It follows from the conclusion that $R(A^p) = R(A^{p+1})$.

Combining Case I with Case II, we complete the proof of (i).

(ii). It follows from the above argument methods that we only need to show that if $B = 0$ and $R(M_C) = R(M_C^2)$, then $R(A) = R(A^2)$. In fact, if $y \in R(A)$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = Ax$, so $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R(M_C) = R(M_C^3)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \in X \oplus Y$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^3 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Ax_1 + Cy_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have $y = A^2(Ax_1 + Cy_1)$, so $y \in R(A^2)$, this showed that $R(A) \subseteq R(A^2)$. Note that $R(A^2) \subseteq R(A)$ is obvious, therefore $R(A) = R(A^2)$.

(iii). If A is invertible, then $asc(A) < \infty$, thus, it follows easily from $asc(B) < \infty$ that $asc(M_C) < \infty$ (see Lemma 2.2 in [11]).

If A is invertible and $asc(M_C) < \infty$, now we consider two cases to show that $asc(B) < \infty$.

Case I. $N(M_C) = N(M_C^2)$. We claim that $N(B) = N(B^2)$. If $y \in N(B^2)$, then $B^2y = 0$. Note that A is invertible, it can be proved that

$$M_C^2 \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-2}(AC + CB)y \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B^2y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -A^{-2}(AC + CB)y \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in N(M_C^2) = N(M_C).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -A^{-2}(AC + CB)y \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies $By = 0$, thus $N(B^2) \subseteq N(B)$. Note that $N(B) \subseteq N(B^2)$ is obvious, so $N(B^2) = N(B)$.

Case II. If $1 < asc(M_C) = p < \infty$, put $M_p = M_C^p$. Then

$$M_p = \begin{pmatrix} A^p & \sum_{i=1}^{p} A^{p-i}CB^{i-1} \\ 0 & B^p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and } N(M_p) = N(M_p^2),$$

where $A^0 = I, B^0 = I$. By the same methods as in case I, we can prove that $N(B^p) \subseteq N(B^{2p})$ and so it is easy to obtain that $N(B^p) = N(B^{p+1})$.

Combining case I with case II, we prove (iii).

(iv). We only prove that if $A = 0$ and $N(M_C) = N(M_C^2)$, then $N(B) = N(B^2)$. Let $y \in N(B^2)$. Then $B^2y = 0$. So we have

$$M_C^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & CB^2 \\ 0 & B^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in N(M_C^3) = N(M_C).$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Cy \\ By \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This showes that $By = 0$, so $N(B^2) \subseteq N(B)$. It follows easily from the conclusion that $N(B) = N(B^2)$. The lemma is proved.

The following lemma is important and it is widely used in the proofs of our main theorems in Section 3 and Section 4.

Lemma 2.7. For $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$ and $C \in B(Y, X)$, we have:

(i). if A is Drazin invertible, then $asc(M_C) < \infty$ ($des(M_C) < \infty$) if and only if $asc(B) < \infty$ ($des(B) < \infty$),

(ii). if B is Drazin invertible, then $des(M_C) < \infty$ ($asc(M_C) < \infty$) if and only if $des(A) < \infty$ ($asc(A) < \infty$),

(iii). if A is Browder operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Browder operator if and only if B is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Browder operator,

(iv). if B is Browder operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Browder operator if and only if A is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Browder operator,

(v). if A is Fredholm operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Fredholm operator if and only if B is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Fredholm operator,

(vi). if B is Fredholm operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Fredholm operator if and only if A is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Fredholm operator,

(vii). if A is Weyl operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Weyl operator if and only if B is left (right, upper, lower) Weyl operator,

(viii). if B is Weyl operator, then M_C is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Weyl operator if and only if A is left (right, upper, lower) semi-Weyl operator,

(viiii). if A is invertible, then M_C is left (right) invertible if and only if B is left (right)invertible,

(vv). if B is invertible, then M_C is left (right) invertible if and only if A is left (right) invertible,

(vvi). if A is invertible, then M_C bounded below if and only if B bounded below,

(vvii). if B is invertible, then M_C is surjective if and only if A is surjective.

Proof. That from (v) to (vv) are well known and from (i) to (iv) are new. Here we only prove (i).

If A is Drazin invertible and $i(A) = k < \infty$, then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} : R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k) \longrightarrow R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k),$$

where A_1 is invertible and $A_2^k = 0$. Thus,

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & C_2 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k) \oplus Y \longrightarrow R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k) \oplus Y.$$

So

$$M_C^k = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^k & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^k A_1^{k-i} C_1 B^{i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^k A_2^{k-i} C_2 B^{i-1} \\ 0 & 0 & B^k \end{pmatrix} : R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k) \oplus Y \longrightarrow R(A^k) \oplus N(A^k) \oplus Y.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} asc(M_C) < \infty \; & \Longleftrightarrow asc(M_C^k) < \infty \\ & \Longleftrightarrow asc\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{i=1}^k A_2^{k-i} C_2 B^{i-1} \\ 0 & B^k \end{pmatrix}\right) < \infty \\ & \Longleftrightarrow asc(B^k) < \infty \\ & \Longleftrightarrow asc(B) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if A is Drazin invertible, then it follows from Lemma 2.5 and the proof of Lemma 2.6 that

$$des(M_C) < \infty \Leftrightarrow des(B) < \infty.$$

Thus (i) is proved.

Lemma 2.8 ([1] Theorem 3.4). For $T \in B(X)$, the following properties hold:

(i). if $asc(T) < \infty$, then $\alpha(T) \leq \beta(T)$,

(ii). if $des(T) < \infty$, then $\beta(T) \leq \alpha(T)$,

(iii). if $asc(T) = des(T) < \infty$, then $\beta(T) = \alpha(T)$,

(iv). if $\beta(T) = \alpha(T) < \infty$ and either $asc(T)$ or $des(T)$ is finite, then $asc(T) = des(T)$.

Lemma 2.9 ([1] Corollary 3.19). Let $T \in B(X)$ and $\lambda_0 - T \in \Phi_{\pm}(X)$, where $\Phi_{\pm}(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X)$. We have:

(i). if T has the SVEP at λ_0, then ind $(\lambda_0 I - T) \leq 0$,

(ii). if T^* has the SVEP at λ_0, then ind $(\lambda_0 I - T) \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.10 ([31]). If each neighborhood of λ contains a point that is not an eigenvalue of operator T and $\lambda - T$ has a finite descent, then $\lambda - T$ is Drazin invertible.

3 Spectra structure of operator matrix M_C

The following theorems in this section tell us 20 kind spectra structure of M_C, that is:

Theorem 3.1.

$$\sigma_D(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) = \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B).$$

Proof. First, Theorem 2.9 in [31] told us that $\sigma_D(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)$. Note that

$$S(A^*) \cap S(B) \subseteq int(\sigma(A^*)) \cap int(\sigma(B)) = int(\sigma(A)) \cap int(\sigma(B)) \subseteq \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B).$$

It follows that

$$\sigma_D(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) \subseteq \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B).$$

On the other hand, if $\lambda \in (\sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)) \setminus \sigma_D(M_C)$, then $M_C - \lambda$ is Drazin invertible. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$des(B - \lambda) < \infty \text{ and } des(A^* - \lambda) < \infty.$$

Now we claim that $\lambda \in S(A^*) \cap S(B)$. In fact, if $\lambda \notin S(A^*) \cap S(B)$. There are two cases:

If $\lambda \notin S(A^*)$, note that $des(A^* - \lambda) < \infty$, it follows from Lemmas 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that $asc(A^* - \lambda) < \infty$ and $A - \lambda$ is Drazin invertible. Furthermore, Lemma 2.4 tells us that $B - \lambda$ is also Drazin invertible, this is a contradiction.

Similarly, we can prove that $\lambda \notin S(B)$ is also impossible. Thus, we have

$$\sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B) \subseteq \sigma_D(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)).$$

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.2.

$$\sigma_b(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$. Moreover, it is easy to know that $\sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B) \subseteq \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$, thus, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that $S(A^*) \cap S(B) \subseteq \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$. Hence

$$\sigma_b(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) \subseteq \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B).$$

For the contrary inclusion, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq (S(A^*) \cap S(B)).$$

Let $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$. Then $M_C - \lambda$ is a Drazin invertible Fredholm operator, and so $A - \lambda \in \Phi_+(X), B - \lambda \in \Phi_-(Y)$. Moreover, It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$des(B - \lambda) < \infty \text{ and } asc(A - \lambda) < \infty.$$

Now, we show that $\lambda \in S(A^*) \cap S(B)$. In fact, if $\lambda \notin S(A^*) \cap S(B)$, then when $\lambda \notin S(A^*)$, we can prove as in Theorem 3.1 that $A - \lambda$ is Drazin invertible. Note that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_+(X)$, it is easy to show from Lemma 2.8 that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_b(X)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.4 we get that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_b(Y)$, and hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$, which is a contradiction. So, $\lambda \in S(A^*)$.

Similarly, we can show that $\lambda \in S(B)$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.3. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{des}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{re}$ or σ_{SF-}, then

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(B).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to prove that

$$\sigma_*(B) \subseteq \sigma_*(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)$$

and

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(B) \subseteq \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(B).$$

for each $\sigma_* = \sigma_{des}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{re}$ or σ_{SF-}. So, in order to prove the theorem, we only need to prove that

$$(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) \setminus \sigma_*(M_C) \subseteq S(B).$$

If $\lambda \in (\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) \setminus \sigma_*(M_C)$ and $\sigma_* = \sigma_{des}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{re}$ or σ_{SF-}, we show that $\lambda \in S(B)$. In fact, if $\lambda \notin S(B)$, we consider the following four cases:

Case (I). Let $\sigma_* = \sigma_{des}$. Since $\lambda \in (\sigma_{des}(A) \cup \sigma_{des}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{des}(M_C)$ and $\lambda \notin S(B)$, it follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.3 that $des(B - \lambda) < \infty$ and $asc(B - \lambda) < \infty$, so $B - \lambda$ is Drazin invertible. Thus, Lemma 2.7 tells us that $des(A - \lambda) < \infty$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, it follows that $(\sigma_{des}(A) \cup \sigma_{des}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{des}(M_C) \subseteq S(B)$.

Case (II). Let $\sigma_* = \sigma_r$. Note that $\lambda \in (\sigma_r(A) \cup \sigma_r(B)) \setminus \sigma_r(M_C)$, so $B - \lambda \in G_r(Y)$. That is $B - \lambda \in \Phi_{rb}(Y)$ and $R(B - \lambda) = Y$. Since $\lambda \notin S(B)$, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) = \alpha(B - \lambda) - \beta(B - \lambda) \leq 0$. Thus $\alpha(B - \lambda) = 0$, and so $B - \lambda \in \Phi_0(Y)$. Also since $R(B - \lambda) = Y$, $B - \lambda$ is invertible. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that $A - \lambda \in G_r(X)$, this contradicts $\lambda \in (\sigma_r(A) \cup \sigma_r(B))$. Therefore $(\sigma_r(A) \cup \sigma_r(B)) \setminus \sigma_r(M_C) \subseteq S(B)$.

Case (III). Let $\sigma_* = \sigma_{rb}$. Since $\lambda \in (\sigma_{rb}(A) \cup \sigma_{rb}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{rb}(M_C)$, $B - \lambda \in \Phi_{rb}(Y)$. In particular, $B - \lambda \in \Phi_r(Y)$, $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) \geq 0$. Note that $\lambda \notin S(B)$, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) \leq 0$. Thus $B - \lambda \in \Phi_0(Y)$ and $des(B - \lambda) < \infty$, and then Lemma 2.8 shows us that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_b(Y)$. Lemma 2.7 tells us that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_{rb}(X)$, this is a contradiction. So we get that $(\sigma_{rb}(A) \cup \sigma_{rb}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{rb}(M_C) \subseteq S(B)$.

Case (IV). Let $\sigma_* = \sigma_{re}$. Since $\lambda \in (\sigma_{re}(A) \cup \sigma_{re}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{re}(M_C)$, it is easy to show that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_r(Y)$. Note that $\lambda \notin S(B)$, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) \leq 0$, so $\alpha(B-\lambda) \leq \beta(B-\lambda) < \infty$. Thus $B-\lambda \in \Phi(X)$. By using Lemma 2.7, we have $A-\lambda \in \Phi_r(X)$, this is a contradiction and so we can prove that $(\sigma_{re}(A) \cup \sigma_{re}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{re}(M_C) \subseteq S(B)$. For $\sigma_* = \sigma_{sb}$ or σ_{SF-}, the proof methods are similar, we omit them.

Similarly, we can prove also the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_l, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{le}$ or σ_{SF+}, then

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*).$$

Theorem 3.5.

$$\sigma_{lw}(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*) = \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*).$$

Proof. Note that $\sigma_{lw}(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B)$, it is obvious that

$$\sigma_{lw}(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*) \subseteq \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*).$$

For the contrary inclusion, let $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lw}(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*)$. Then $M_C - \lambda$ is left semi-Weyl operator, and it is easy to prove that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_l(X)$. From the assumption we also get that either A and A^* or A^* and B^* have the SVEP at λ. If A and A^* have the SVEP at λ, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(X)$. By using Lemma 2.7, it follows that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_l(Y)$ and $\text{ind}(M_C - \lambda) = \text{ind}(A - \lambda) + \text{ind}(B - \lambda) \leq 0$. Thus $B - \lambda \in \Phi_l(Y)$ with $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) = \text{ind}(M_C - \lambda) - \text{ind}(A - \lambda) = \text{ind}(M_C - \lambda) \leq 0$. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B)$. On the other hand, if A^* and B^* have the SVEP at λ, it is obvious that M_C^* have the SVEP at λ. It follows from Lemma 2.9 that $M_C - \lambda \in \Phi_0(X \oplus Y)$. Thus, it is easy to show that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_l(X)$ and $B - \lambda \in \Phi_r(Y)$. Also, note that B^* and A^* have the SVEP at λ, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\text{ind}(A - \lambda) \geq 0$ and $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) \geq 0$. Hence it follows from $A - \lambda \in \Phi_l(X)$ proved above that $A - \lambda \in \Phi(X)$, so Lemma 2.4 tells us that $B - \lambda \in \Phi(Y)$. Moreover, in view of $0 = \text{ind}(M_C - \lambda) = \text{ind}(A - \lambda) + \text{ind}(B - \lambda)$, $\text{ind}(A - \lambda) \geq 0$ and $\text{ind}(B - \lambda) \geq 0$, it is clear that $\text{ind}(A - \lambda) = \text{ind}(B - \lambda) = 0$. Thus $A - \lambda$ and $B - \lambda$ are both Weyl operators, which implies that $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B)$. It follows that $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B)$ when $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lw}(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(A^*)$. Thus, the contrary inclusion is clear. The theorem is proved.

Similarly, we can prove also the following theorem:

Theorem 3.6. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{sw}$ or σ_{rw}, then

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup (S(A) \cap S(B^*)) \cup S(B).$$

Theorem 3.7. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_{SF0}$ or σ_{lr}, then

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$$

and

$$\sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*).$$

Proof. We only prove when $\sigma_* = \sigma_K$, equation (8) holds. First, we prove that

$$\sigma_K(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) \subseteq \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B).$$

In fact, let $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$. Then $A - \lambda$ is a semi-Fredholm operator with A^*, A have the SVEP at λ, this implies $A - \lambda$ is a Weyl operator. Note that $B - \lambda$ is also a semi-Fredholm operator, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that $M_C - \lambda$ is a semi-Fredholm operator. Thus $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$. So it is clear that $\sigma_K(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B) \subseteq \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$.

For the contrary inclusion, let $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$. Then $M_C - \lambda$ is a semi-Fredholm operator, that is, $M_C - \lambda$ is either a upper semi-Fredholm operator or a lower semi-Fredholm operator. If $M_C - \lambda \in \Phi_+(X \oplus Y)$, then it is easy to prove that $A - \lambda \in \Phi_+(X)$. Since A^* has the SVEP at λ, it follows from lemma 2.9 that $A - \lambda \in \Phi(X)$. Hence it follows Lemma 2.7 that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_+(Y)$. Thus $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B)$, and so $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$. On the other hand, if $M_C - \lambda \in \Phi_-(X \oplus Y)$, then $B - \lambda \in \Phi_-(Y)$. Since B has the SVEP at λ, it follows from lemma 2.9 that $B - \lambda \in \Phi(Y)$. Similar to the above arguments, we can also obtain that $\lambda \notin \sigma_K(A) \cup \sigma_K(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(A) \cup S(B)$. This proves equation (8).

We are interesting in the following question, it is perhaps difficult:

Open question 3.8. Do other spectra of M_C have the equations (1) to (9) forms ?

4 Filling-in-hole Problem of M_C

In Section 1, we pointed out that if spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma, \sigma_b, \sigma_w, \sigma_e$ or σ_D, then

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(M_C) \cup W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C),$$

where $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C)$ is the union of some holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$ and $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_*(A) \cap \sigma_*(B)$. The following theorem shows the relationship among $W_\sigma(A, B, C), W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C)$, $W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$ and $W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$:

Theorem 4.1. For $(A, B) \in B(X) \times B(Y)$ and $C \in B(Y, X)$, we have

(i). $W_\sigma(A, B, C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$,

(ii). $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$.

In particular, the following states are equivalent:

(a). $W_\sigma(A, B, C) = \emptyset$,

(b). $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) = \emptyset$,

(c). $W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C) = \emptyset$.

Proof. (i). Let $\lambda \in W_\sigma(A, B, C)$. It follow from equation (1) that $\lambda \in (\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)) \backslash \sigma(M_C)$. Thus $A - \lambda$ is left invertible and $B - \lambda$ is right invertible. That $\lambda \notin \sigma_b(M_C)$ is obvious. Now we claim that $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$. If not, by Lemma 2.4, we have that both $A - \lambda$ and $B - \lambda$ are Browder operators. This implies that $\lambda \in \Phi_0(A) \cap \Phi_0(B)$. Moreover, since $A - \lambda$ is left invertible and $B - \lambda$ is right invertible, $A - \lambda$ and $B - \lambda$ are invertible, this contradicts $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$, so $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$, thus $W_\sigma(A, B, C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C)$ is proved.

For the inclusion $W_{\sigma_b}(A,B,C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_D}(A,B,C)$, note that $\sigma_b(M_C) \supseteq \sigma_D(M_C)$, so it is sufficient to show that if $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$, then $\lambda \in (\sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B))$. Let $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$. Then $M_C - \lambda \in \Phi_b(X \oplus Y)$, so $A - \lambda \in \Phi_l(X)$ and $B - \lambda \in \Phi_r(Y)$. We claim $\lambda \in \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)$. If not, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\lambda \in \rho_D(A) \cap \rho_D(B)$. Since $B - \lambda \in \Phi_r(Y)$, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.8 tell us that $B - \lambda$ is a Fredholm operator. This implies that $B - \lambda$ is a Drazin invertible Fredholm operator, that is, $B - \lambda$ is a Browder operator. By Lemma 2.4, we get that $A - \lambda$ is also a Browder operator. Thus $\lambda \in (\Phi_b(A) \cap \Phi_b(B))$, which contradicts with the assumption that $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B))$, so we have $\lambda \in \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)$, thus $W_{\sigma_b}(A,B,C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_D}(A,B,C)$ is also proved.

(ii). To prove $W_{\sigma_b}(A,B,C) \subseteq W_{\sigma_w}(A,B,C)$, by Lemma 2.4 and the fact that $\sigma_w(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_b(M_C)$, it sufficient to show that if $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_w(A) \cup \sigma_w(B)$. Indeed, if $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$, then $M_C - \lambda \in \Phi_b(X \oplus Y)$. It follows Lemma 2.2 that there exist some nonnegative integer k and l such that $asc(A - \lambda) = k < \infty$ and $des(B - \lambda) = l < \infty$. Now we claim $\lambda \in \sigma_w(A) \cup \sigma_w(B)$. Otherwise, $\lambda \in \Phi_0(A) \cap \Phi_0(B)$. Moreover, Since $asc(A - \lambda) = k < \infty$, by Lemma 2.8 we have $des(A - \lambda) < \infty$. That is $A - \lambda$ is a Drazin invertible Fredholm operator, so $A - \lambda \in \Phi_b(X)$. Using Lemma 2.4, we get that $B - \lambda \in \Phi_b(Y)$ and so $\lambda \in \Phi_b(A) \cap \Phi_b(B)$, this contradicts with the assumption that $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B))$. Thus we have $\lambda \in \sigma_w(A) \cup \sigma_w(B)$ and (ii) is proved.

Finally, it follows from Corollary 2.12 in [31] that if $W_\sigma(A,B,C) = \emptyset$, then $W_{\sigma_D}(A,B,C) = \emptyset$. This completed the proof of theorem.

The following result which generalizes Lemma 3.2 of [12] is useful in studying the filling-in-hole problem of M_C.

Proposition 4.2. For each $T \in B(X)$, we have

(i). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{lr}, \sigma_{SF0}, \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_a, \sigma_l$ or σ_{se}, then $\eta(\sigma_*(T)) = \eta(\sigma(T))$.

(ii). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{rw}, \sigma_w, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{SF-}, \sigma_{le}, \sigma_{re}, \sigma_e, \sigma_K$ or σ_{K_3}, then $\eta(\sigma_*(T)) = \eta(\sigma_b(T))$.

(iii). $\eta(\sigma_D(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{des}(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{rD}(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{lD}(T))$.

Proof. (i). Note that if $\sigma_* = \sigma_{lr}, \sigma_{SF0}, \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_a$ or σ_l, then $\partial\sigma \subseteq \sigma_{su} \cap \sigma_a \subseteq \sigma_* \subseteq \sigma$, $\partial\sigma \subseteq \sigma_{se} \subseteq \sigma$ ([1]), so (i) is proved.

(ii). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{sb}, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{rw}, \sigma_w, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{SF-}, \sigma_{le}, \sigma_{re}, \sigma_e, \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}$ or σ_{SF+}, it is well known that $\partial\sigma_b \subseteq \sigma_K \subseteq \sigma_* \subseteq \sigma_b$, so we have $\eta(\sigma_*(T)) = \eta(\sigma_b(T))$.

(iii). First, we prove that $\eta(\sigma_D(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{des}(T))$. That $\sigma_{des}(T) \subseteq \sigma_D(T)$ is clear. If $\lambda \in \partial(\sigma_D(T))$, there exist $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that

$$\{\lambda_n\} \cap \sigma(T) = \emptyset \text{ and } \lambda_n \to \lambda.$$

If $\lambda \notin \sigma_{des}(T)$, then $\sigma_{des}(T - \lambda) < \infty$ and hence by Lemma 2.10 that $\lambda \notin \sigma_D(T)$, which contradicts with $\lambda \in \partial(\sigma_D(T)) \subseteq \sigma_D(T)$. Thus it follows that $\lambda \in \sigma_{des}(T)$ when $\lambda \in \partial(\sigma_D(T))$, so $\partial(\sigma_D(T)) \subseteq \sigma_{des}(T)$. Note that $\sigma_{des}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rD}(T) \subseteq \sigma_D(T)$, thus, $\eta(\sigma_D(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{rD}(T))$. Moreover, since σ_{rD} and σ_{lD} are dual, we have

$$\eta(\sigma_{lD}(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{rD}(T^*)) = \eta(\sigma_D(T^*)) = \eta(\sigma_D(T)).$$

Therefore, $\eta(\sigma_D(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{des}(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{rD}(T)) = \eta(\sigma_{lD}(T))$. This proved (iii).

The following theorems decide 18 kind spectra filling-in-hole properties of M_C:

Theorem 4.3. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{des}, \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{rw}$ or σ_{re}, then σ_* has the generalized filling-in-hole property. That is

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(M_C) \cup W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C),$$

where $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of some holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$. In particular,

(i). $W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_{des}(A) \cap \sigma_{asc}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{des}(B)]$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_{des}(B)$.

(ii). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{rb}$ or σ_{re}, then $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_*(A) \cap \sigma_*(B^*)) \setminus \sigma_*(B)]$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_*(B)$.

(iii). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{rw}$ or σ_{sw}, then $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B^*)) \setminus \sigma_{SF-}(B)]$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_*(B)$.

Proof. (i). It follows from Lemma 2.5 and the proof of Lemma 2.6 (i) that

$$\sigma_{des}(A) \cup \sigma_{des}(B) \supseteq \sigma_{des}(M_C) \supseteq \sigma_{des}(B).$$

This implies that σ_{des} has the generalized filling-in-holes property and

$$W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_{des}(A) \setminus \sigma_{des}(B).$$

Moreover, note that Lemma 2.7, we can prove that

$$W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_{des}(A) \cap \sigma_{asc}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{\sigma_{des}}(B)].$$

To see this, let $\lambda \in W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C)$. Then $\sigma_{des}(A - \lambda) = \infty$ and $\sigma_{des}(B - \lambda) < \infty$. If $\sigma_{asc}(B - \lambda) < \infty$, then by Lemma 2.7 (ii) we know that $\sigma_{des}(A - \lambda) < \infty$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\lambda \in \sigma_{asc}(B)$. Next, we can claim that $W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_{des}(B)$, that is, $W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \eta(\sigma_{des}(B))$. Otherwise, there exists $\lambda \in W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C) \setminus \eta(\sigma_{des}(B))$. By Proposition 4.2 we have that $\eta(\sigma_{des}(B)) = \eta(\sigma_D(B))$. Thus $\lambda \notin \eta(\sigma_D(B))$. Furthermore, Lemma 2.7 tells us that $des(A-\lambda) < \infty \Leftrightarrow des(M_C-\lambda) < \infty$, which is a contradiction with the assumption that $\lambda \in W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C)$. Thus, it follows that $W_{\sigma_{des}}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_{des}(B)$.

(ii). We only prove $\sigma_* = \sigma_{su}$ case. Note that A and B are surjective imply that M_C is surjective, M_C is surjective implies that B is also surjective. So we have

$$\sigma_{su}(B) \subseteq \sigma_{su}(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_{su}(A) \cup \sigma_{su}(B).$$

This shows that σ_{su} has the generalized filling-in-hole property and

$$W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_{su}(A) \setminus \sigma_{su}(B).$$

Next we claim that $W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_a(B)$. If not, there exists $\lambda \in W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \setminus \sigma_a(B)$. Combine this fact with the inclusion $W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_{su}(A) \setminus \sigma_{su}(B)$ proved above, we have that $B - \lambda$ is invertible. By Lemma 2.7 it follows that $A - \lambda$ is surjective, this is a contradiction. Thus $W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \subseteq \sigma_a(B)$, and so

$$W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C) \subseteq (\sigma_{su}(A) \cap \sigma_a(B)) \setminus \sigma_{su}(B) = (\sigma_{su}(A) \cap \sigma_{su}(B^*)) \setminus \sigma_{su}(B).$$

Similar to the proof of (1), we can get that $W_{\sigma_{su}}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_{su}(B)$.

(iii) is obvious by Proposition 4.2.

Duality, we have the following:

Theorem 4.4. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_l, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}$ or σ_{le}, then σ_* has the generalized filling-in-holes property. That is

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(M_C) \cup W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C),$$

where $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C)$ is contained in the union of some holes in $\sigma_*(M_C)$. In particular,

(i). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_l, \sigma_{lb}$ or σ_{le}, then $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_*(B) \cap \sigma_*(A^*)) \setminus \sigma_*(A)]$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_*(A)$.

(ii). If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{aw}$ or σ_{lw}, then $W_{\sigma_*}(A, B, C) \subseteq [(\sigma_*(B) \cup \sigma_*(A^*)) \setminus \sigma_{SF+}(A)]$ is contained in the union of all holes in $\sigma_*(A)$.

Theorem 4.5. If $\sigma_* = \sigma_{lD}, \sigma_{rD}, \sigma_{lr}, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_K$ or σ_{SF_0}, then σ_* has the convex filling-in-hole property. That is

$$\eta(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) = \eta(\sigma_*(M_C)).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1 of [12] that $\eta(\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)) = \eta(\sigma(M_C)), \eta(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) = \eta(\sigma_b(M_C)), \eta(\sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)) = \eta(\sigma_D(M_C))$. Combine those facts with Proposition 4.2 that it is easy to prove the theorem.

We are also interesting in the following question:

Open question 4.6. Do other spectra of M_C have the filling-in-hole properties ?

5 Examples

Now, we present examples to show that some conclusions about the spectra structure or the filling-in-hole properties of M_C are not true.

The following example shows that for spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_a, \sigma_l, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{le}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_{ab}, \sigma_{lb}, \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{SF-}, \sigma_{re}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{rw}, \sigma_{sb}$ or σ_{rb}, it not only has not the equation (1) form, but also has not the filling-in-hole property.

Example 5.1 ([12]). Let X be the direct sum of countably many copies of $\ell^2 := \ell^2(N)$. Thus, the elements of X are the sequences $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $x_j \in \ell^2$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\|^2 < \infty$. Put $Y = \ell^2$. Let V be the forward shift on ℓ^2:

$$V : \ell^2 \to \ell^2, \quad \{z_1, z_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, z_1, z_2, \ldots\},$$

define the operators A and C by

$$A : X \to X, \quad \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{Vx_1, Vx_2, \ldots\},$$

$$C : Y \to X, \quad \{y_1, y_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{y_1 e_1, y_2 e_1, \ldots\}.$$

where $e_1 = \{1, 0, 0, \ldots\}$. Let $B = 0$ and consider the operator

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : X \oplus Y \to X \oplus Y.$$

If $\sigma_* = \sigma_a, \sigma_l, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{le}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_{ab}$ or σ_{lb}, then (i). $\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid = 1\} \cup \{0\}$.

(ii). $\sigma_*(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap S(B)) = \sigma_*(M_C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid = 1\}$.

(iii). $(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) \setminus \sigma_*(M_C) = \{0\}$.

Thus, it follows from (i) and (ii) that equation (1) does not hold for spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_a, \sigma_l, \sigma_{SF+}, \sigma_{le}, \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_{ab}$ or σ_{lb}. By duality, we can also show that equation (1) does not hold for spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_{su}, \sigma_r, \sigma_{SF-}, \sigma_{re}, \sigma_{sw}, \sigma_{rw}, \sigma_{sb}$ or σ_{rb}. Moreover, from (iii) we knew that none of the above 16 kind spectra has the filling-in-hole property.

This following example shows that ascent spectrum σ_{asc} has not equations (1) to (9) form.

Example 5.2. Let $X = Y = \ell^2$ and $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a basis of ℓ^2. Define $A, B, C \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$Ae_i = \frac{1}{i}e_{2i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

$$Be_1 = 0, Be_i = \frac{1}{i}e_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \cdots,$$

$$Ce_i = e_{2i-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{0\} = \sigma_{asc}(A) \cup \sigma_{asc}(B) &= \sigma_{asc}(A) \cup \sigma_{asc}(B) \cup S(A) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*) \\ &\neq \sigma_{asc}(M_C) \cup S(A) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \cup S(B^*) \\ &= \sigma_{asc}(M_C) = \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

In [16], the authors claimed that

$$(\sigma_{ab}(A) \cup \sigma_{ab}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{ab}(M_C) \subseteq S(A^*) \cap \sigma_D(B),$$

where $\sigma_D(B)$ was denoted by $F(B)$, which implies that

$$(\sigma_{ab}(A) \cup \sigma_{ab}(B)) \cup (S(A^*) \cap \sigma_D(B)) = \sigma_{ab}(M_C) \cup (S(A^*) \cap \sigma_D(B)) \qquad (13).$$

The following example shows that neither the claim nor equation (13) is true.

Example 5.3. Let $X = Y = \ell^2$ and

$$A\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{x_1, 0, x_2, 0, \ldots\},$$

$$B\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, 0, 0, \ldots\},$$

$$C\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, x_1, 0, x_2, \ldots\}.$$

Then

$$\sigma_{ab}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid = 1\}, \sigma_{ab}(B) = \{0\}, \sigma_{ab}(M_C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid = 1\},$$
$$S(A^*) \cap \sigma_D(B) = \emptyset, \sigma_{ab}(M_C) \neq \sigma_{ab}(A) \cup \sigma_{ab}(B).$$

So

$$(\sigma_{ab}(A) \cup \sigma_{ab}(B)) \setminus \sigma_{ab}(M_C) \nsubseteq S(A^*) \cap \sigma_D(B).$$

The following example shows that for spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_{aw}, \sigma_{lw}, \sigma_{sw}$ or σ_{rw}, it has not equations (4) and (5) form.

Example 5.4. Let $X = Y = \ell^2$ and define $T, S, C \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$T\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, x_1, x_2, \ldots\},$$

$$S\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \ldots, \},$$

$$C\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, 0, 0, \ldots\}.$$

(i). Put $A = S, B = T^2$. Then A^* and B have the SVEP and

$$\sigma_{aw}(A) \cup \sigma_{aw}(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_{lw}(A) \cup \sigma_{lw}(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid\leq 1\},$$

and

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid= 1\} = \sigma_{aw}(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_{lw}(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B).$$

So, when $\sigma_* = \sigma_{aw}$ or σ_{lw}, we have

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \neq \sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(M_C).$$

(ii). Put $A = S^2, B = T$. Then A^* and B have the SVEP and

$$\sigma_{sw}(A) \cup \sigma_{sw}(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_{rw}(A) \cup \sigma_{rw}(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid\leq 1\}$$

and

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid= 1\} = \sigma_{sw}(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_{rw}(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B).$$

Thus, when $\sigma_* = \sigma_{sw}$ or σ_{rw}, we have

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \neq \sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) = \sigma_*(M_C).$$

The following example shows that for spectrum $\sigma_* = \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_{SF0}$ or σ_{lr}, it not only has not equations (4) and (5) form, but also has not generalized filling-in-hole property.

Example 5.5. Let $X = Y = \ell^2$ and define $A, B, C \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$A\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \ldots\},$$

$$B\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, x_1, 0, x_2, \ldots, \},$$

$$C\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, 0, 0, \ldots\}.$$

It is easy to show that A^* and B have the SVEP and if $\sigma_* = \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_{SF0}$ or σ_{lr}, then $\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid= 1\}$, $\sigma_*(M_C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\mid \lambda \mid\leq 1\}$. Thus, when $\sigma_* = \sigma_K, \sigma_{K_3}, \sigma_{SF0}$ or σ_{lr}, we have

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B) \neq \sigma_*(M_C) \cup S(A^*) \cup S(B)$$

and

$$\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B) \not\supseteq \sigma_*(M_C).$$

The following example shows that the inclusions in Theorem 4.1 may be strict in general.

Example 5.6. Let X_n be a complex n dimensional Hilbert space. Define $T, S, C_3 \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$T\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\},$$
$$S\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_2, x_3, x_4, \cdots\},$$
$$C_3 := I - TS.$$

(i). Put $A = T$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \ell^2 \oplus X_n \longrightarrow \ell^2$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \ell^2 \oplus X_n \longrightarrow \ell^2 \oplus X_n$. Then $W_\sigma(A, B, C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 <| \lambda |< 1 \}$, $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :| \lambda |< 1 \}$, thus $W_\sigma(A, B, C) \neq W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C)$.

(ii). Put $A = T$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$. Then $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 <| \lambda |< 1 \}$, $W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :| \lambda |< 1 \}$, thus $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) \neq W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$.

(iii). Put $A = T$, $C = 0$, $B = S$. Then $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) = \emptyset$, $W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :| \lambda |< 1\}$, thus $W_{\sigma_b}(A, B, C) \neq W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$.

The following example shows that for $W_{\sigma_e}(A, B, C)$, $W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$ and $W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$, there are no inclusion relationship among them.

Example 5.7. Let $T, S, T_1, S_1, C_1, C_2, C_3 \in B(\ell^2)$ be defined by

$$T\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\},$$
$$S\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_2, x_3, x_4, \cdots\},$$
$$T_1\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{0, x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, \cdots\},$$
$$S_1\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \cdots\},$$
$$C_1\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_1, 0, x_3, 0, x_5, \cdots\},$$
$$C_2\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{0, 0, x_1, 0, x_3, 0, x_5, \cdots\},$$
$$C_3 = I - TS.$$

(i). If $A = T, B = S, C = C_3$, then $W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_e}(A, B, C)$.

(ii). If $A = T, B = S, C = 0$, then $W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$.

(iii). Let $A = T_1$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}: \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ and $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}: \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2$. Then $W_{\sigma_e}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C)$.

(iv). If $A = T_1, B = S_1, C = C_2$, then $W_{\sigma_e}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$.

(v). If $A = T$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}: \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ and $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}: \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow \ell^2$, then $W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_e}(A, B, C), W_{\sigma_D}(A, B, C) \nsubseteq W_{\sigma_w}(A, B, C)$.

Note that $\sigma(T) \supseteq \sigma_b(T) \supseteq \sigma_D(T) \supseteq acc\sigma(T)$ is well known, so we have $\eta(\sigma(T)) \supseteq \eta(\sigma_b(T)) \supseteq \eta(\sigma_D(T)) \supseteq \eta(acc\sigma(T))$.

The following example shows that the above inclusions may be strict.

Example 5.8. Let X_n be a n dimensional complex Hilbert space. Define operators $A \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$A\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{0, \frac{1}{2}x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2, \frac{1}{4}x_3, \cdots\}.$$

Then $\sigma(A) = \sigma_D(A) = \sigma_{des}(A) = \{0\}$ and $acc\sigma(A) = \emptyset$. If consider operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5+A \end{pmatrix} : X_n \oplus \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2 \longrightarrow X_n \oplus \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2,$$

we have $\eta(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(T) = \{0, 3, 5\}$, $\eta(\sigma_b(T)) = \sigma_b(T) = \{3, 5\}$, $\eta(\sigma_D(T)) = \sigma_D(T) = \{5\}$, $\eta(acc\sigma(T)) = acc\sigma(T) = \emptyset$. Thus,

$$\eta(\sigma(T)) \neq \eta(\sigma_b(T)) \neq \eta(\sigma_D(T)) \neq \eta(acc\sigma(T)).$$

The following example shows that spectra σ_{rD} and σ_{lD} have not the generalized filling-in-hole property.

Example 5.9. Let $X = Y = \ell^2$. Define $A = 0$ and B, C by

$$B\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_2, x_4, x_6, \cdots\},$$

$$C\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots\} = \{x_1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}x_5, \cdots\}.$$

Then $0 \notin \sigma_{rD}(A) \cup \sigma_{rD}(B)$ but $0 \in \sigma_{rD}(M_C)$. So $\sigma_{rD}(M_C) \nsubseteq \sigma_{rD}(A) \cup \sigma_{rD}(B)$. Since σ_{rD} and σ_{lD} are dual (see [26]), thus, neither σ_{rD} nor σ_{lD} has the generalized filling-in-holes property.

Let H, K be Hilbert spaces, $(A, B) \in B(H) \times B(K), C \in B(K, H)$. If $A \in B(H)$, let A^* denote the adjoint operator of A and $\sigma_p(A)$ denote the point spectrum of A. In [3], the authors claimed that

$$\eta(\sigma_{se}(A) \cup \sigma_{se}(B)) = \eta(\sigma_{se}(M_C)),$$

More precisely,

$$\sigma_{se}(A) \cup \sigma_{se}(B) \cup (\overline{\sigma_p(A^*)} \cap \sigma_p(B)) = \sigma_{se}(M_C) \cup W, \tag{14}$$

where W is the union of some holes in $\sigma_{se}(M_C)$ which happen to be subsets of $\overline{\sigma_p(A^*)} \cup \sigma_p(B)$. The following example shows that equation (14) is not true.

Example 5.10. Let X, Y, A, C be defined as in Example 5.1. and $B \in B(Y)$ be defined by

$$B : \{y_1, y_2, \ldots\} \mapsto \{0, \frac{1}{2}y_1, \frac{1}{3}y_2, \frac{1}{4}y_3, \cdots\}.$$

Consider the operator

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : X \oplus Y \to X \oplus Y.$$

Then we have

(i). $\sigma_{se}(M_C) = \sigma_{se}(A) = \{\lambda :\mid \lambda \mid= 1\}$,

(ii). $\sigma(B) = \sigma_{se}(B) = \{0\}$, $\sigma_p(B) = \emptyset$,

(iii). $\overline{\sigma_p(A^*)} \cap \sigma_p(B) = \emptyset$.

Thus $W = (\sigma_{se}(A) \cup \sigma_{se}(B) \cup (\overline{\sigma_p(A^*)} \cap \sigma_p(B)) \setminus \sigma_{se}(M_C) = \{0\}$, so W is just a point but not an open set. This showed that the above conclusion is not true.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Doctor Qiaofen Jiang for the valuable suggestions on Lemma 2.7 and Theorem 3.1.

References

[1] P. Aiena. Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Applications to Multipliers, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.

[2] M. Barraa and M. Boumazgour. A note on the spectrum of an upper triangular operator matrix, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131(2003), 3083-3088.

[3] M. Barraa, M. Boumazgour. On the perturbations of spectra of upper triangular operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 347(2008), 315-322.

[4] M. Berkani. Index of B-Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130(2002), 1717-1723.

[5] M. Berkani. On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 34(1999), 244-249.

[6] C. Benhida, E. H. Zerouali and H. Zguitti. Spectral properties of upper-triangular block operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 71(2005), 607-616.

[7] M. Burgos, A. Kaidi, M. Mbekhta and M. Oudghiri. The descent spectrum and perturbations, J. operator Theory, 56(2006), 259-271.

[8] X. H. Cao. Browder spectra for upper triangular operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 342(2008), 477-484.

[9] X. H. Cao, M.Z. Guo, B. Meng. Drazin spectrum and Wely's theorem for operator matrices, J. Math. Res. Exposition, 26(2006), 413-422.

[10] X. H. Cao, M.Z. Guo, B. Meng. Semi-Fredholm spectrum and Weyl's theory for operator matrices, Acta Math. Sinica, 22(2006), 169-178.

[11] X. H. Cao, M.Z. Guo, B. Meng. Weyl's theorem for upper triangular operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 402(2005), 61-73.

[12] X. L. Chen, S. F. Zhang, H. J. Zhong. On the filling in holes problem of operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., (2008), doi:10.1016/j.laa.2008.08.022.

[13] D. S. Djordjević. Perturbations of spectra of operator matrices, J. Operator Theory, 48(2002), 467-486.

[14] S. V. Djordjević, Y. M. Han. A note on Weyl's theorem for operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131(2002), 2543-2547.

[15] S. V. Djordjević, Y. M. Han. spectral continuity for operator matrices, Glasg. Math. J., 43(2001), 487-490.

[16] S. V. Djordjević, H. Zguitti. Essential point spectra of operator matrices though local spectral theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 338(2008), 285-291.

[17] H. K. Du, J. Pan. Perturbation of spectrums of 2 × 2 operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 121(1994), 761-766.

[18] H. Elbjaoui, E. H. Zerouali. Local spectral theory for 2 × 2 operator matrices, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 42(2003), 2667-2672.

[19] J. K. Han, H. Y. Lee, W. Y. Lee. Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128(1999), 119-123.

[20] I. S. Hwang, W. Y. Lee. The boundedness below of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 39(2001), 267-276.

[21] W. Y. Lee. Weyl's theorem for operator matrices, Integr. equ. oper. theory, 32(1998), 319-331.

[22] W. Y. Lee. Weyl spectra of operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129(2000), 131-138.

[23] Y. Li, X. H. Sun, H. K. Du. A note on the left essential spectra of operator matrices, Acta Math. Sinica, 23(2007), 2235-2240.

[24] Y. Li, X. H. Sun, H. K. Du. The intersection of left(right) spectra of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., 418(2006), 112-121.

[25] Y. Li, H. K. Du. The intersection of essential approximate point spectra of operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323(2006), 1171-1183.

[26] M. Merkhta, V. Müller. On the axiomatic theory of spectrum II., Studia Math., 119(1996), 129-147.

[27] C. Schmoeger. Perturbation properties of some classes of operators, Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII Volume 14 Roma, (1994), 533-541.

[28] E. H. Zerouali, H. Zguitti. Perturbation of spectra of operator matrices and local spectral theory, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 324(2006), 992-1005.

[29] H. Y. Zhang, H. K. Du. Browder spectra of upper-triangular operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323(2006), 700-707.

[30] S. F. Zhang, H. J. Zhong. A note of Browder spectrum of operator matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 344(2008), 927-931 .

[31] S. F. Zhang, H. J. Zhong, Q. F. Jiang. Drazin spectrum of operator matrices on the Banach space, Linear Algebra Appl., 429(2008), 2067-2075.

[32] Y. N. Zhang, H. J. Zhong, L. Q. Lin. Browder spectra and essential spectra of operator matrices, Acta Math. Sinica, 24 (2008), 947-954.